

The First Edition of the African Conference on High Energy Physics (ACHEP 2023) Elastic neutrino-nucleon scattering: The effects of neutrino electromagnetic properties and polarization

Konstantin A. Kouzakov^{1,2}, Fedor M. Lazarev¹, Alexander I. Studenikin^{1,2} 1. Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia 2. National Center for Physics and Mathematics, Sarov, Nizhny Novgorod Oblast, Russia Correspondence: kouzakov@gmail.com; lazarev.fm@15physics.msu.ru; studenik@srd.sinp.msu.ru

1 Introduction

There are a large number of experiments investigating both neutrino oscillations and their interactions. In both cases, it is important to theoretically investigate neutrino scattering on various targets [1–3], since scattering processes are either a tool for detecting neutrino fluxes: the processes of neutrino scattering on a nucleon or a nucleus contribute to the signals of such experiments as MiniBooNE [5], COHERENT [6,7] and registration of supernova neutrinos in JUNO [8]; or a tool for studying fundamental interactions of neutrinos. Also, the beyond Standard-Model theories discuss properties of right-handed neutrinos [4]. In this work, the contribution of the electromagnetic properties and polarization effects of neutrinos is studied. The neutrino electromagentic properties emerge in different extensions of the Standard Model, and they include [9]: millicharges, charge radii, electric, magnetic and anapole moments.

with probabilities α_L for left-handed (L) and $\alpha_R = 1 - \alpha_L$ for righthanded (R) neutrinos. We assume the target nucleon to be free and at rest in the lab frame. The matrix element of the transition $\nu_{\ell}(L) + N \to \nu_j + N$ due to weak interaction is given by

where J (NC) λ is a weak neutral current of a nucleon, \bar{u} (ν) $_{j,\lambda^{\prime}}^{(\nu)}(k^{\prime})\;\;=\;\;$ \overline{u} (ν) † $\int_{j,\lambda'}^{(\nu)\dagger}(k')\gamma^0$, where u (ν) $j,\lambda(k)$ is the bispinor amplitude of the massive neutrino state $|\nu_j\rangle$ with 4-momentum k and spin state λ . The matrix element due to electromagnetic interaction is

2 Cross sections

We consider the process where an ultrarelativistic neutrino with energy E_{ν} originates from a source (reactor, accelerator, the Sun, etc.) and elastically scatters on an nucleon in a detector at energy-momentum transfer $q = (T, \mathbf{q})$. In the most general case the neutrino state in the detector can be mixed both in flavour/mass space and in spin space. In our work we assume that neutrino was born in the source in flavour state ℓ and in spin mixed state such that its density matrix is diagonal with respect to the helicity basis, which coincides with the chirality basis in the tiny neutrino mass limit. Considering vacuum oscillations on the sourcedetector distance \mathcal{L} , the neutrino state in the detector is

where Λ $(\mathrm{EM}; \nu)\mu$ $j_k^{(EIM, \nu)\mu}(q)$ is the electromagnetic neutrino vertex and J (EM) $\mu^{(LIVI)}$ is the electromagnetic current of the nucleon. Assuming the target to be a free nucleon, these currents can be expanded as follows:

where Λ $(NC;N)$ λ (q) and Λ $(EM;N)$ λ $(-q)$ are the nucleon NC weak and EM vertexes, respectively. We consider the following vertexes:

$$
|\nu_{\ell}^{L,R}(\mathcal{L})\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{\ell k}^{*} e^{-i\frac{m_k^2}{2E_{\nu}} \mathcal{L}} |\nu_{k}^{L,R}\rangle, \tag{1}
$$

where averaging over initial and summing over final spin polarizations of the nucleon and summing over final neutrino polarisations are assumed. Taking into account the initial neutrino mixed spin state, the cross section can be presented as

 $d\sigma^K$ $\frac{d\sigma}{dT}$ are cross sections for the initial left-handed $(K = L)$ and righthanded $(K = R)$ neutrino spin states, respectively. Both of them can be split into helicity-preserving (hp) and helicity-flipping (hf) components (for the sake of brevity, we omit the argument $q^2 = -2m_N T$ in the expressions for the form factors); that is,

$$
\mathcal{M}_{j}^{(w)} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} U_{\ell j}^* e^{-i\frac{m_j^2}{2E_\nu} \mathcal{L}} \bar{u}_{j,\lambda'}^{(\nu)}(k') \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) u_{j,\lambda}^{(\nu)}(k) J_{\mu}^{(NC)}, \tag{2}
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{j}^{(\gamma)} = -\frac{4\pi\alpha}{q^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{\ell k}^{*} e^{-i\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2E_{\nu}} \mathcal{L}} \bar{u}_{j,\lambda'}^{(\nu)}(k') \Lambda_{jk}^{(\text{EM};\nu)\mu}(q) u_{k,\lambda}^{(\nu)}(k) J_{\mu}^{(\text{EM})}, \tag{3}
$$

$$
J_{\lambda}^{(\text{NC})}(q) = \bar{u}_{s'}^{(N)}(p') \Lambda_{\lambda}^{(\text{NC};N)}(-q) u_s^{(N)}(p), J_{\lambda}^{(\text{EM})}(q) = \bar{u}_{s'}^{(N)}(p') \Lambda_{\lambda}^{(\text{EM};N)}(-q) u_s^{(N)}(p),
$$
\n(4)

$$
\Lambda_{\mu}^{(\text{EM};\nu)fi}(q) = (\gamma_{\mu} - q_{\mu}q/q^{2})[f_{Q}^{fi}(q^{2}) + f_{A}^{fi}(q^{2})q^{2}\gamma_{5}] - i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}[f_{M}^{fi}(q^{2}) + if_{E}^{fi}(q^{2})\gamma_{5}],
$$

\n
$$
\Lambda_{\mu}^{(\text{EM};N)}(q) = \gamma_{\mu}F_{Q}^{N}(q^{2}) - \frac{i}{2m_{N}}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}F_{M}^{N}(q^{2}) + \frac{1}{2m_{N}}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}\gamma_{5}F_{E}^{N}(q^{2}) - (q^{2}\gamma_{\mu} - q_{\mu}q)\gamma_{5}\frac{F_{A}^{N}(q^{2})}{m_{N}^{2}},
$$

\n
$$
\Lambda_{\mu}^{(\text{NC};N)}(q) = \gamma_{\mu}F_{1}^{N}(q^{2}) - \frac{i}{2m_{N}}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}F_{2}^{N}(q^{2}) - \gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}G_{A}^{N}(q^{2}) + \frac{1}{m_{N}}G_{P}^{N}(q^{2})q_{\mu}\gamma_{5}.
$$
\n(5)

When evaluating the cross section, we neglect the neutrino masses. Since the final massive state of the neutrino is not resolved in the detector, the differential cross section measured in the scattering experiment is given by

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{32\pi E_{\nu}^2 m_N},\tag{6}
$$

with the following absolute matrix element squared:

$$
|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left| \mathcal{M}_j^{(w)} + \mathcal{M}_j^{(\gamma)} \right|^2, \tag{7}
$$

 A, F are strange form factors of the nucleon. We use the parameterization that can be found in [10] (and references therein). We present the numerical results for incident left-handed $(\alpha_L = 1)$, unpolarized $(\alpha_{L,R} = 1/2)$ and right-handed $(\alpha_R = 1)$ neutrino states, accounting for the nucleon strange form-factor contribution and the

Summary

where F_1^S $T^S_{1,2},\; G^S_A$ neutrino transition charge radii (Fig. 1) or the neutrino magnetic moments (Fig. 2).

Elastic neutrino-nucleon scattering has been considered theoretically, taking into account the electromagnetic interactions of massive neutrinos and their initial polarization. Thus, the processes under consideration have two channels: through the exchange of a Z^0 boson and a photon.

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \alpha_L \frac{d\sigma^L}{dT} + \alpha_R \frac{d\sigma^R}{dT},\tag{8}
$$

The strange-quark contribution to the nucleon form factors that can have a similar effect as that due to the neutrino electromagnetic properties is taken into account. In addition, neutrino oscillations on the sourcedetector distance are taken into account in the formalism. The derived cross sections contain information about the neutrino polarization and both the neutrino electromagnetic form factors and the nucleon form factors. This feature allows the obtained expressions to be used in various studies. Among them are neutrino experiments with short and long baselines, the study of neutrino interactions and oscillations in matter, registration of neutrinos from supernova explosions using elastic neutrino-proton scattering [8], the study of the anapole moment of the nucleon, the search for the electric dipole moment of the neutron, the search for the electromagnetic characteristics of neutrinos. We have performed numerical calculations for neutrino energies relevant for supernova explosions (Figs. 1,2), where one might expect neutrino spin oscuillations to take place. Thus, our results illustrate how electromagnetic neutrino properties can manifest themselves in the scattering of righthanded supernova neutrinos.

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{K}}{dT} = \frac{d\sigma_{\text{hp}}^{K}}{dT} + \frac{d\sigma_{\text{hf}}^{K}}{dT}, \n\frac{d\sigma_{\text{hp}}^{K}}{dT} = \frac{G_{F}^{2}m_{N}}{2\pi} \left[(C_{V}^{K} - 2\text{Re}\,C_{\text{VA}}^{K} + C_{A}^{K}) + (C_{V}^{K} + 2\text{Re}\,C_{\text{VA}}^{K} + C_{A}^{K}) \left(1 - \frac{T}{E_{\nu}}\right)^{2} \right. \n+ (C_{A}^{K} - C_{V}^{K}) \frac{m_{N}T}{E_{\nu}^{2}} + C_{M}^{K} \frac{T}{2m_{N}} \left(2 + \frac{m_{N}T}{E_{\nu}^{2}} - \frac{2T}{E_{\nu}}\right) - C_{E}^{K} \frac{T}{2m_{N}} \left(2 - \frac{m_{N}T}{E_{\nu}^{2}} - \frac{2T}{E_{\nu}}\right) \n+ 2 \frac{T}{E_{\nu}} \text{Re}\,C_{A\&M}^{K} \left(2 - \frac{T}{E_{\nu}}\right) - 2\text{Re}\,C_{V\&M}^{K} \frac{T^{2}}{E_{\nu}^{2}} \right], \n\frac{d\sigma_{\text{hf}}^{K}}{dT} = \frac{\pi \alpha^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}} |\mu_{\nu}^{K}(\mathcal{L}, E_{\nu})|^{2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{E_{\nu}}\right) F_{Q}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{E_{\nu}} - \frac{m_{N}}{2E_{\nu}^{2}}\right) \frac{T^{2}}{4m_{N}^{2}} F_{A}^{2} \right. \n- \frac{T}{2E_{\nu}^{2}} F_{Q} F_{M} + \frac{\left(2 - \frac{T}{E_{\nu}}\right)^{2} - \frac{2m_{N}T}{E_{\nu}^{2}}}{8m_{N}} F_{M}^{2} - \frac{\left(2 - \frac{T}{E_{\nu}}\right)^{2}}{8m_{N}} F_{E}^{2} \right],
$$
\n(9)

where [1]

$$
C_{V}^{K} = \sum_{j} \left| \sum_{k} U_{\ell k}^{*} e^{-i\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2E_{V}}} \mathcal{L}(\delta_{L}^{K} \delta_{jk} F_{1} - F_{Q} Q_{jk}^{K}) \right|^{2}, \quad Q_{jk}^{L,R} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}{G_{F}q^{2}} \left(f_{Q}^{jk} \mp q^{2} f_{A}^{jk} \right),
$$

\n
$$
C_{V\&A}^{K} = \sum_{j} \left(\sum_{k} U_{\ell k}^{*} e^{-i\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2E_{V}}} \mathcal{L}(\delta_{L}^{K} \delta_{jk} F_{1} - F_{Q} Q_{jk}^{K}) \right) \left(\sum_{n} U_{\ell n} e^{i\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2E_{V}}} \mathcal{L} \left(-\delta_{L}^{K} \delta_{jn} G_{A} + \frac{q^{2} F_{A} Q_{jn}^{K}}{m_{N}^{2}} \right) \right),
$$

\n
$$
C_{A}^{K} = \sum_{j} \left| \sum_{k} U_{\ell k}^{*} e^{-i\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2E_{V}}} \mathcal{L} \left(\delta_{L}^{K} G_{A} \delta_{jk} - \frac{q^{2} F_{A} Q_{jk}^{K}}{m_{N}^{2}} \right) \right|^{2},
$$

\n
$$
C_{M}^{K} = \sum_{j} \left| \sum_{k} U_{\ell k}^{*} e^{-i\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2E_{V}}} \mathcal{L}(\delta_{L}^{K} F_{2} \delta_{jk} - F_{M} Q_{jk}^{K}) \right|^{2}, \qquad C_{E}^{K} = \sum_{j} \left| \sum_{k} U_{\ell k}^{*} e^{-i\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2E_{V}}} \mathcal{L}_{FQ} \delta_{jk}^{K} \right|^{2}, \qquad (10)
$$

\n
$$
C_{A\&M}^{K} = \sum_{j} \left(\sum_{k} U_{\ell k}^{*} e^{-i\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2E_{V}}} \mathcal{L} \left(\delta_{L}^{K} \delta_{jk} G_{A} - \frac{q^{2} F_{A} Q_{jk}^{K}}{
$$

It is important to note that in the case of right-handed neutrino scattering cross section $\frac{d\sigma^R}{dT}$ \overline{dT} only electromagnetic interactions can contribute. The differential cross section in (8) determines the shape of the recoil-nucleon spectrum, which can be measured in experiment.

3 Numerical results

Obviously, manifestations of neutrino electromagnetic properties should be much more pronounced in neutrino–proton rather than in

Figure 2: The differential cross sections of elastic neutrino-proton scattering for incident (a) left-handed, (b) unpolarazed and (c) right-handed neutrinos accounting for neutrino magnetic moments. We restrict ourselves to the case of charge and magnetic electromagnetic form factors of a nucleon, accounting for the relation between the nucleon

neutral weak and electromagnetic form factors

 F_1^p $\mathcal{I}_{1,2}^p(q)$ F_1^n $\mathcal{I}_{1,2}^n(q)$ G^N_A $\frac{dN}{A,P}(q)$

$$
(q^{2}) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\sin^{2}\theta_{W}\right)F_{Q,M}^{p}(q^{2}) - 1\frac{1}{2}F_{Q,M}^{n}(q^{2}) - \frac{1}{2}F_{1,2}^{S}(q^{2}),
$$

\n
$$
(q^{2}) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\sin^{2}\theta_{W}\right)F_{Q,M}^{n}(q^{2}) - 1\frac{1}{2}F_{Q,M}^{p}(q^{2}) - \frac{1}{2}F_{1,2}^{S}(q^{2}),
$$

\n
$$
(q^{2}) = \frac{\tau_{3}}{2}G_{A,P}^{a}(q^{2}) - \frac{1}{2}G_{A,P}^{S}(q^{2}),
$$
\n(11)

The results of this work contribute to the development of a systematic approach to studying the properties of neutrinos in their elastic scattering on complex targets (nuclei, atoms, condensed matter).

The work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant No.22-22-00384.

References

[3] Kouzakov K., Lazarev F., Studenikin A. Phys. Atom. Nucl. 86, 257 (2023).

- [1] Kouzakov K. A., Studenikin A. I. Phys. Rev. D 96, 099904 (2017).
- [2] Kouzakov K., Lazarev F., Studenikin A., PoS (ICHEP2020) 205.
-
- [4] Drewes M. Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 22, 1330019 (2013). [5] Tayloe R. et al. Nucl. Phys. B-Proc. Suppl. 118, 157 (2003).
- [6] Akimov D. et al. Science 357, 1123 (2017).
- [7] Cadeddu M., Giunti C., Kouzakov K. A., Li Y. F., Studenikin A. I., Zhang Y. Y. Phys. Rev. D 98, 113010 (2018).
-
- [8] An F. et al. J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43, 030401 (2016). [9] Giunti C., Studenikin A. Rev. Mod. Phys. 87, 531 (2015)
- [10] Papoulias D. K., Kosmas T. S. Adv. High En. Phys. 2016, 1490860 (2016).
- [11] Miranda O., Papoulias D., Garcia G.S. et al. JHEP 2020, 130 (2020).
- [12] Tomalak O. et al. JHEP 2021, 1 (2021).

National Center